

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 20 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

BIPIN NAGINDAS PATEL; SUNITA BIPIN PATEL, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74641

Agency Nos. A097-107-790 A097-107-791 A097-107-792

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted January 13, 2009**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, BYBEE, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Bipin Nagindas Patel, Sunita Bipin Patel and their son, natives and citizens of the United Kingdom, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration

RA/Research 06-74641

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing their appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying their applications for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1015 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The record does not compel the conclusion that extraordinary circumstances excused petitioners' untimely filing of their asylum application. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1208.4(a)(5). Accordingly, petitioners' asylum claim fails.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's conclusion that the one isolated beating Bipin Patel suffered, and the discrimination and harassment he experienced do not compel a finding of past persecution. *See Nagoulko*, 333 F.3d at 1016-18. Further, substantial evidence supports the BIA finding that petitioners did not establish past persecution or a clear probability of future persecution by individuals that the government of the United Kingdom is unwilling or unable to control. *See Castro-Perez v. Gonzales*, 409 F.3d 1069, 1072 (9th Cir. 2005). Thus, petitioners' withholding of removal claim fails.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

RA/Research 2 06-74641